

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/635,095	08/06/2003	Jennifer L. Liedtke	GP-302696	6992	
7590 07/30/2004			EXAMINER		
LESLIE C. HODGES General Motors Corporation Legal Staff, Mail Code: 482-C23-B21 P.O. Box 300 Detroit, MI 48265-3000			ESHETE, ZELALEM		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3748 DATE MAILED: 07/30/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/635,095		LIEDTKE ET AL.	VYV		
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Zelalem Es		3748			
The MAIL Period for Reply	ING DATE of this communication app	pears on the d	cover sheet with the	correspondence ad	dress		
THE MAILING I - Extensions of time r after SIX (6) MONT - If the period for repl - If NO period for repl - Failure to reply with Any reply received by	O STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 HS from the mailing date of this communication. by specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply by is specified above, the maximum statutory period to in the set or extended period for reply will, by statute by the Office later than three months after the mailing adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event by within the statuto will apply and will c. cause the applic	, however, may a reply be ti by minimum of thirty (30) da expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron ation to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely n the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	y. ommunication.		
Status							
1) Responsi	ve to communication(s) filed on						
2a)☐ This actio	····• · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	s action is no					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merit							
closed in	accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Qua	<i>yl</i> e, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Clai	ims						
4)⊠ Claim(s))⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
<u> </u>	is/are allowed.						
,	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-4,7-9</u> is/are rejected.						
	<u>5 and 6</u> is/are objected to. are subject to restriction and/o	or election rea	nuirement	-			
	are subject to restriction and/c	or election re-	quirement.				
Application Paper	s						
	fication is objected to by the Examine						
•	ng(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acc						
	may not request that any objection to the				ED 4 404(4)		
•	ent drawing sheet(s) including the corrector declaration is objected to by the E						
11) Ine oath o	or declaration is objected to by the E	xammer. Not	e the attached Onic	e Action of form 1	102.		
Priority under 35 l	J.S.C. § 119						
a)∏ All b)l	dgment is made of a claim for foreign Some * c) None of:			a)-(d) or (f).			
	rtified copies of the priority documen rtified copies of the priority documen			tion No.			
	pies of the certified copies of the price				Stage		
	olication from the International Burea			•			
	ached detailed Office action for a list			ved.			
Attachment(s)	Cited (DTO 902)		4) 🔲 Interview Summar	rv (PTO-413)			
Notice of Reference Notice of Draftsperior	ces Cited (PTO-892) erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Paper No(s)/Mail I	Date	o 450)		
3) Information Disclo	osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Date)	5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:	Patent Application (PT	J-152)		

Application/Control Number: 10/635,095

Art Unit: 3748

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 8,9 recite the limitation "the tapered lower end" in lines 1,2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1,4,7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leman et al. (US2004/0050349) in view of Mayer (4,050,359), and further in view of Kadlicko(US2002/0157531).

Regarding claims 1,4: Leman discloses an integrated hydraulic valve actuator comprising: a housing internally defining a through opening, a housing internally defining a stepped bore having an upper major diameter, a middle intermediate diameter, and a lower minor diameter (see numeral 12); the piston subassembly received in the cylinder for actuating an engine valve (see numerals 24,26), the subassembly including a boost piston defining an internal cylinder and a drive piston

Art Unit: 3748

extending through and reciprocable in the internal cylinder of the boost piston (see numerals 20,22).

Leman fails to disclose a cylindrical liner received in an upper portion of the housing or in the major diameter of the stepped bore, the liner internally defining a cylinder and riding surface receiving a reciprocable piston subassembly, the liner cylinder being open to receive pressurized fluid to axially move the piston subassembly within the cylinder, and a drive piston position sensor extending into the housing and engaging a cam on the drive piston to sense the position of the drive piston within the cylinder.

However, Mayer teaches a cylindrical liner received in an upper portion of the housing, the liner internally defining a cylinder and riding surface receiving a reciprocable piston subassembly, the liner cylinder being open to receive pressurized fluid to axially move the piston subassembly within the cylinder (see figure 4).

Furthermore, Kadlicko teaches a drive piston position sensor extending into the housing and engaging a cam on the drive piston to sense the position of the drive piston within the cylinder (see paragraph 0007).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Leman's device by providing a liner as taught by Mayer in order to direct the pressurized fluid as taught by Mayer. In addition, it would also have been obvious to further modify the device by providing a position sensor as taught by Kadlicko in order to monitor the position of the valve.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,095

Art Unit: 3748

Regarding claims 7,9: Kadlico teaches tapered or inclined interface on the piston assembly for sensing the position (see paragraph 0007). Kadlico discloses the claimed invention except for integrating the interface with the piston body. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to integrate the piston/interface by using a tapered piston depending on the applied sensor, since it has been held that constructing a formerly various elements into an integral structure involves only routine skill in the art. *Nerwin v. Erlichman, 168 USPQ* 177, 179.

Regarding claim 8: Lemanin view of Mayer, and further in view of Kadlicko discloses the claimed invention as recited above; and Leman further discloses the drive piston is engageable with the boost piston to limit upward travel of the drive piston (see numeral 22).

4. Claims 2,3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Leman et al. (US2004/0050349) in view of Mayer (4,050,359), and further in view of Kadlicko(US2002/0157531) as applied to claim 1 above; and further in view of Hyashida (4,162,616).

Leman in view of Mayer and further in view of Kadlicko discloses the claimed invention as recited above; however, fails to disclose the housing and the liner is formed of metal.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,095

Art Unit: 3748

However, Hayashida teaches housing and liner formed of metal to receive a piston slidably therein (see abstract).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device of Leman in view of Mayer and further in view of Kadlicko by making the housing and the liner out of metal as taught by Hayashida in order to produce durable device.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 5,6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Zelalem Eshete whose telephone number is (703) 306-4239. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Denion can be reached on (703) 308-2623. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3748

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Zelalem Eshete Examiner Art Unit 3748

Ζ

THOMAS DENION
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3709